

Paradise Design Code: Compliance Checklist



How to use this document: This checklist is for use by officers assessing applications, or applicants. Please fill in your details in the information box below before completing the checklist. The explanatory comments box should be used to provide an explanation of partial- or non-compliance of codes.

Note: this compliance list should not be used exclusively, as a number of important codes are explained and set out within the main body of the Design Code that aren't duplicated here. This checklist covers coding i.e. mandatory elements only, whereas the Design Code also includes guidance which is discretionary. Please refer to Figure 1.1 within the Design Code for an explanation of which elements are classified as coding or guidance. The intention of this checklist is to give an initial overview of the level of compliance.

Applicant	
Site	
Proposal	
Completed by	
Date	

Chapter Reference	Page no. (Code)	Design Code Criteria	Compliant?	Explanatory Comments
Chapter 1: Introduction				
1	15	Are all of the spatial codes set out on the Regulatory Plan satisfied?		
Chapter 2: Movement				
2.0	20	Does the proposal support the three overarching principles for Movement within the site?		
2.1	22-23	Are all of the site wide codes satisfied?		
2.1	22	Does the proposal comply with the spatial principles as identified on the Movement Key Plan?		
2.2	24	Are the street hierarchy (including pedestrian, cycle and vehicular movement) codes satisfied?		
2.3	25-27	Are all of the parking codes satisfied?		
2.4	28	Do the access proposals comply with the pedestrian and cycle access codes?		
2.5	28	Do the access proposals comply with the vehicular access and servicing codes?		
Chapter 3: Built Form				
3.0	32	Does the proposal support the three overarching principles for Built Form within the site?		
3.1	34-35	Are all of the site wide codes satisfied?		
3.1	34	Does the proposal comply with the spatial principles as identified on the Built Form Key Plan?		
3.2	35-36	Are the heights and density codes satisfied?		
3.2	35	Are the heights proposed within the ranges coded on the Heights Plan?		
3.3	36-39	Do the block typologies satisfy the block structure and urban grain codes set out, even if they are not courtyard/linear block typologies?		
3.31-3.34	40	If landmark, linear or courtyard blocks or stacked maisonettes are proposed do they comply with their typology specific codes?		
3.4	42-43	Do all ground frontages proposed comply with the codes set out?		
Chapter 4: Identity				
4.0	46	Does the proposal support the three overarching principles for Identity within the site?		
4.1	48-49	Are all of the site wide codes satisfied?		
4.1	48	Does the proposal comply with the spatial principles identified on the Identity Key Plan?		
4.2	49-51	Are the open space strategy codes satisfied?		
4.32	52-55	If the proposal fronts onto the Paradise Fields Gateway Space or North-South linear space does it satisfy the Paradise Fields Gateway Space/Linear Space specific codes?		
4.33	56-57	Do the proposals comply with the on-street treatment codes for its typology?		
4.4, 4.5	58	Does the proposal provide either communal courtyard space or podium gardens that satisfy the typology specific codes?		
4.6	59	If the proposal has a flat roof, is it compliant with the roof garden codes?		
4.7	60	Do all units provide outdoor amenity space?		
4.7	60	If the proposal provides balconies, does it comply with the balcony coding?		
4.8	65	Does the proposal comply with the elevational treatment codes?		
Chapter 5: Use				
5.0	68	Does the proposal support the two overarching principles for Use within the site?		
5.1	70	Are all of the site wide codes satisfied?		
5.1	70-71	Are the uses proposed compliant with the spatial principles set out on the Use Key Plans		
5.2	71	Are the land use strategy codes satisfied?		
5.3	72	Are the frontage codes satisfied?		